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6. Introduction of CBP in Japan (1)

Japanese Corporate Pension Scheme

| Date | Corporate Pension Scheme |
| :---: | :---: |
| $1962-$ <br> 2012 | Tax-Qualified Pension Plan (TQPP) |
| $1966-$ | Employee's Pension Fund (EPF) |
| $2001.10-$ | Defined Contribution Pension Plan (DB) |
| $2002.4-$ | Defined Benefit Corporate Pension Plan (DC) |

1. Introduction of CBP in Japan (2)

Japanese Corporate Pension Scheme Reform


After 2001


1. Introduction of CBP in Japan (3)

## Cash Balance Pension Plan(CBP)

Defined Benefits Corporate Pension Act(DB Act)


EPF•DB
$\Rightarrow$ Permitted to design benefits by CBP

## TQPP

$\Rightarrow$ Not permitted to design benefits by CBP

1. Introduction of CBP in Japan (4)

In case of transfer of TQPP,
plan sponsors have chosen CBP in many cases.

## Reason:

- This choise results in the mitigation of the volatility of the projected benefit obligation (PBO).
- When the economic environment turns bad, the benefits by CBPs will not grow as much in comparison with the conventional defined benefit pension plans.

1. Introduction of CBP in Japan (5)
-Review of risk sharing (Investment Risk)

Perfect Storm(2000-2002)
$\Rightarrow$ DB Act and DC Act were enacted.
(Permitted to design benefits by CBP)

Subprime Crisis • Lehman Shock(2007-2008)
$\Rightarrow$ JSCPA began to examine expansion of the Hybrid-type Pension Plan, including Benchmark Related Pension Plans (BRPs).
2. Japanese CBP and NAC (1)

## NAC $=\Sigma$ Notional principal credits $+\Sigma$ Interest credits

IInterest credits : Don't decrease

2. Japanese CBP and NAC (2)

Amount of annuity

2. Japanese CBP and NAC (3)

Revaluation rate (in Japan)
<legally-recognized options>
(1) Fixed rate
(2) the interest rate of the government bond etc. (Consumer Price Index, Wage rate Index)
(3) Combination of (1) and (2)
(4) (2) or (3) provided that this choice dose not go beyond the upper or lower bounds
Don't fall below O
$\Rightarrow$ In many cases, the yield of the government bond is used.
2. Japanese CBP and NAC (4)

Other features

- In many cases, for coefficient according to retirement reason,
lump sum benefits decreases more than NAC.
- In many cases, Temporary annuity
(Not whole life annuity)


## 3. Structure of Risk Sharing (1)

Risks in Pension Plan (1)

## Investment Risk

## <DB>

this risk is that the plan sponsor might be required to pay an additional burden when RORs fall below the expected $R O R$ assumed in contribution calculations

## <DC>

this risk is that the employee's future benefit might become smaller than expected when the actual RORs fall below the expected RORs

ROR : rate of investment return

Reference : Shimizu Nobuhiro[2006],
"Reinventing the Risk Sharing Mechanism of Defined Benefit Pension Plans"
3. Structure of Risk Sharing (2)

Risks in Pension Plan (2)

Longevity Risk (Annuity Conversion Risk)
<plan sponsor> (whole life annuity)
this risk is that
the actual amount of annuities every year exceeds the amount of the expectation
when the results mortality rate to fall below the expected one because the annuitants live long.

> <employees and pension recipients> (temporary annuity) this risk is that
> the original capital for old age is insufficient
> when they live longer than one expects and that financial capital to maintain their livelihoods in old age might need to be increased.
3. Structure of Risk Sharing (3)

Risks in Pension Plan (3)

Mortality rate improvement Risk

This risk is that
the plan sponsor might be required to pay an additional burden
when mortality rates might improve beyond expectation and future benefits might be higher than expected.

## 3. Structure of Risk Sharing (4)

## Risks in Pension Plan (4)

## Earnings Increase Risk

```
<plan sponsor>
This risk is that
the plan sponsor might be required to pay an additional burden
when earnings increase faster than expected and future benefits
are higher than expected.
    (This risk becomes larger in final earnings pension plans. )
```

<pension recipients>
this risk is that
the income substitution rate for the real wages might fall
when the wage of active employees rises.
<employees>
this risk is that
the income substitution rate for the real wages might fall
when the wage growth rate is less than the inflation rate.
3. Structure of Risk Sharing (5)

Risks in Pension Plan (5)

## Inflation Risk

This risk is that
the real value of benefits might be reduced due to inflation.
(this risk becomes large in such cases where the amounts of benefits are proportionate to one' s career average earnings and past earnings are not revalued.)

## Default Risk

This risk is that
the rights of participants to receive benefits might be partially or completely lost
when the plan sponsor becomes insolvent and the pension plan is forced to be terminated or to be dissolved.
3. Structure of Risk Sharing (6)

Risk Sharing in CBP

Investment Risk
Ernings Increase Risk
$\Rightarrow$ Those Risks are improved in comparison to the final earnings pension plan.
4. Structure of BRP (1)

## Problem of CBP

Benefits :
change according to the yield curve

Asset management :

ROR can't completely synchronize
with the yield curve used.
$\Rightarrow$ Investment Risk: plan sponsor

## 4. Structure of BRP (2)

Benchmark Related Plan(BRP)
[Under consideration in JSCPA]
Difference point with CBP

- Revaluation rate

Combined benchmark index rate of return
$\Rightarrow$ Employees and plan sponsor mutual agreement

- Interest credits

It is possible to fall below O .
Lower bound of $\Sigma$ Interest credits : O

- Amount of annuity
a different of method for deciding the amount of the annuity


## 4. Structure of BRP (3)

NAC $=\Sigma$ Notional principal credits $+\Sigma$ Interest credits
Lower bound of $\Sigma$ Interest credits : O


## 4. Structure of BRP (4)

Amount of annuity
(Temporary annuity)

This paper's Method annuity amount =NAC / current price rate

JSCPA Report's Method annuity amount
=NAC / residual period


Appendix Example of Amount of Annuity Changing in BRP
Example 1
Method for Deciding the Amount of the Annuity: This Paper's Method
(Temporary Annuity(guaranteed period: 15 years))


## Example 2

Method for Deciding the Amount of the Annuity: JSCPA Report's Method
(Temporary Annuity(guaranteed period: 15 years))

| YearResidual period <br> (at end of <br> previous year) | CBROR | Notional <br> principal <br> credit part | Interest <br> credit part | Total | Notional <br> principal <br> credit part | Interest <br> credit part | Total |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 15 | $3.0 \%$ | $1,500,000$ | $1,500,000$ | $3,000,000$ | 100,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 |
|  | 14 | $3.0 \%$ | $1,400,000$ | $1,490,000$ | $2,890,000$ | 100,000 | 106,429 | 206,429 |
| 3 | 13 | $3.0 \%$ | $1,300,000$ | $1,470,271$ | $2,770,271$ | 100,000 | 113,098 | 213,098 |
| 4 | 12 | $3.0 \%$ | $1,200,000$ | $1,440,281$ | $2,640,281$ | 100,000 | 120,023 | 220,023 |
| 5 | 11 | $3.0 \%$ | $1,100,000$ | $1,399,466$ | $2,499,466$ | 100,000 | 127,224 | 227,224 |
| 6 | 10 | $3.0 \%$ | $1,000,000$ | $1,347,226$ | $2,347,226$ | 100,000 | 134,723 | 234,723 |
| 7 | 9 | $3.0 \%$ | 900,000 | $1,282,920$ | $2,182,920$ | 100,000 | 142,547 | 242,547 |
| 8 | 8 | $3.0 \%$ | 800,000 | $1,205,861$ | $2,005,861$ | 100,000 | 150,733 | 250,733 |
| 9 | 7 | $3.0 \%$ | 700,000 | $1,115,304$ | $1,815,304$ | 100,000 | 159,329 | 259,329 |
| 10 | 6 | $3.0 \%$ | 600,000 | $1,010,434$ | $1,610,434$ | 100,000 | 168,406 | 268,406 |
| 11 | 5 | $3.0 \%$ | 500,000 | 890,341 | $1,390,341$ | 100,000 | 178,068 | 278,068 |
| 12 | 4 | $3.0 \%$ | 400,000 | 753,983 | $1,153,983$ | 100,000 | 188,496 | 288,496 |
| 13 | 3 | $3.0 \%$ | 300,000 | 600,106 | 900,106 | 100,000 | 200,035 | 300,035 |
| 14 | 2 | $3.0 \%$ | 200,000 | 427,074 | 627,074 | 100,000 | 213,537 | 313,537 |
| 15 | 1 | $3.0 \%$ | 100,000 | 232,349 | 332,349 | 100,000 | 232,349 | 332,349 |

minmum:
maximum:
average:

| 100,000 |
| ---: |
| 232,349 |
| 155,666 |

## Example 3

Method for Deciding the Amount of the Annuity: This Paper's Method
(Temporary Annuity(guaranteed period: 15 years))

| Year | Residual period <br> (at end of <br> previous year) | CBROR | Notional <br> principal <br> credit part | Interest <br> credit part | Total | Notional <br> principal <br> credit part | Interest <br> credit part | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 15 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,500,000$ | $1,500,000$ | $3,000,000$ | 100,000 | 128,898 | 228,898 |
| 2 | 14 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,400,000$ | $1,071,102$ | $2,471,102$ | 100,000 | 100,116 | 200,116 |
| 3 | 13 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,300,000$ | 723,876 | $2,023,876$ | 100,000 | 74,844 | 174,844 |
| 4 | 12 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,200,000$ | 446,644 | $1,646,644$ | 100,000 | 52,653 | 152,653 |
| 5 | 11 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,100,000$ | 229,327 | $1,329,327$ | 100,000 | 33,165 | 133,165 |
| 6 | 10 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,000,000$ | 63,229 | $1,063,229$ | 100,000 | 16,045 | 116,045 |
| 7 | 9 | $-10.0 \%$ | 900,000 | 0 | 900,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 8 | 8 | $-10.0 \%$ | 800,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 9 | 7 | $-10.0 \%$ | 700,000 | 0 | 700,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 10 | 6 | $-10.0 \%$ | 600,000 | 0 | 600,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 11 | 5 | $-10.0 \%$ | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 12 | 4 | $-10.0 \%$ | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 13 | 3 | $-10.0 \%$ | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 14 | 2 | $-10.0 \%$ | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |
| 15 | 1 | $-10.0 \%$ | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |

## Example 4

Method for Deciding the Amount of the Annuity: JSCPA Report's Method (Temporary Annuity(guaranteed period: 15 years))

| Year | Residual period <br> (at end of <br> previous year) | CBROR | NAC (at end of previous year) <br> principal <br> credit part |  | Interest <br> credit part |  | Total | Notional <br> principal <br> credit part |  | Interest <br> credit part | Total |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,500,000$ | $1,500,000$ | $3,000,000$ | 100,000 | 100,000 | 200,000 |  |  |  |
|  | 14 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,400,000$ | $1,100,000$ | $2,500,000$ | 100,000 | 78,571 | 178,571 |  |  |  |
| 3 | 13 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,300,000$ | 771,429 | $2,071,429$ | 100,000 | 59,341 | 159,341 |  |  |  |
| 4 | 12 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,200,000$ | 504,945 | $1,704,945$ | 100,000 | 42,079 | 142,079 |  |  |  |
| 5 | 11 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,100,000$ | 292,372 | $1,392,372$ | 100,000 | 26,579 | 126,579 |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10 | $-10.0 \%$ | $1,000,000$ | 126,556 | $1,126,556$ | 100,000 | 12,656 | 112,656 |  |  |  |
| 7 | 9 | $-10.0 \%$ | 900,000 | 1,244 | 901,244 | 100,000 | 138 | 100,138 |  |  |  |
| 8 | 8 | $-10.0 \%$ | 800,000 | 0 | 800,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |
| 9 | 7 | $-10.0 \%$ | 700,000 | 0 | 700,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 6 | $-10.0 \%$ | 600,000 | 0 | 600,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |
| 11 | 5 | $-10.0 \%$ | 500,000 | 0 | 500,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 4 | $-10.0 \%$ | 400,000 | 0 | 400,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |
| 13 | 3 | $-10.0 \%$ | 300,000 | 0 | 300,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 2 | $-10.0 \%$ | 200,000 | 0 | 200,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 1 | $-10.0 \%$ | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 0 | 100,000 |  |  |  |

5. Future tasks

- Countermeasure of the fluctuation of benefit by economic environment before the time of resignation
- Lower Bound of NAC (at The Time of Resignation) and Annuity
- Method for Calculating Liability in Pension Financing and Retirement Benefit Accounting
- Further review of risk sharing (Countermeasure of Longevity Risk)

